



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
•	05/02/2001	Roy Haworth	60426-253.2000P07632US01	50426-253.2000P07632US01 5045	
7590	06/02/2005		EXAMINER		
CORPO	RATION	WOO, STELLA L			
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	E SOUTH		2643		
	7590 S CORPO CTUAL PF	05/02/2001 7590 06/02/2005 S CORPORATION CTUAL PROPERTY LAW DE D AVENUE SOUTH	05/02/2001 Roy Haworth 7590 06/02/2005 S CORPORATION CTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT D AVENUE SOUTH	05/02/2001 Roy Haworth 60426-253.2000P07632US01 7590 06/02/2005 EXAMI S CORPORATION WOO, STI CTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT D AVENUE SOUTH ART UNIT	

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/847,245		HAWORTH ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit	·			
		Stella L. Wo		2643				
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication app	ears on the d	over sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS Co - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended pe Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	OMMUNICATION. e provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of this communication. than thirty (30) days, a reply maximum statutory period w riod for reply will, by statute, ree months after the mailing	36(a). In no event within the statuto vill apply and will a cause the applic	, however, may a reply be tin ry minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive to communicat	ion(s) filed on 16 De	ecember 200	04.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .		action is no						
3)☐ Since this application is in o	·			osecution as to the ments is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)	is/are withdrawed. d. ted to.	vn from cons	·					
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected	to by the Examine	г.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	_ is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b)	objected to by the I	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that	any objection to the o	drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s)				jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a) All b) Some * c) No 1. Certified copies of the 2. Certified copies of the	one of: e priority documents e priority documents d copies of the prior nternational Bureau	s have been s have been ity documen ı (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	•		Paper No(s)/Mail Da) Notice of Informal P) Other:	ate datent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/847,245 Page 2

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Everingham (US 5,828,759).

Regarding claim 1, Everingham discloses an air induction system (Fig. 1) comprising: an air induction body (main air duct housing 12) having a mouth (large diameter end which frame 18 is attached; col. 2, lines 26-30);

a speaker (speaker 30), said speaker for propagating a sound field along an axis (speaker 30 propagates a sound field along a horizontal axis within air duct housing 10; see Figure 1); and a microphone (microphone 42 is connected to main air duct housing 12 via rigid frame 18; col. 2, lines 60-64), said rigid support positioning said microphone in the sound field (microphone 42 is positioned just upstream of the annular space 26, within the air duct housing 10, approximately in the plane of the front of the speaker cone 32, col. 2, lines 60-64).

Regarding claim 2, Everingham provides for a control unit (broadband amplifier 44; col. 2, line 65 – col. 3, line 9).

Regarding claim 19, Everingham discloses an air induction system (Fig. 1) comprising: an air induction body (main air duct housing 12), having a mouth (large diameter end which frame 18 is attached; col. 2, lines 26-30);

Art Unit: 2643

a speaker (speaker 30), said speaker operatively connected to said air induction body (speaker 30 is contained within speaker enclosure 22, which is supported within the large end of the main air duct housing section 12 by a series of tab 21 projecting from an inner rim 24 of frame 18; col. 2, lines 33-35), having a face (face of speaker cone 32);

a microphone operatively connected to said air induction body by a rigid support (microphone 42 is connected to main air duct housing 12 via rigid frame 18; col. 2, lines 60-64) spaced a predetermined distance from said face (microphone 42 is positioned in the plane of the front of the speaker cone 32; Figure 1; col. 2, lines 60-64; col. 3, lines 10-13) wherein said predetermined distance relates to the location of the sound field emitted by said speaker (microphone 42 is positioned in the plane in front of speaker cone 32 such that it is within the sound field propagated by speaker cone 32); and

a control unit (broadband amplifier 44; col. 2, line 65 – col. 3, line 9).

Regarding claim 20, the frame 18 allows air flow into annular space 26 and does not comprise material which would absorb sound, such that it can be considered acoustically transparent (see Figure 2; col. 2, lines 45-48)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2643

4. Claims 3-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Everingham (US 5,828,759) in view of McLean (US 6,084,971).

Everingham differs from claims 3-4, 6-8, 10-11, 15-17 in that it does not specify the supporting frame 18 extending transversely relative to the speaker face or suspending the microphone 42 over the speaker face. However, McLean, from the same field of endeavor, teaches the desirability of positioning a microphone over the face of a speaker (error microphone 40 is positioned at a distance directly in front of the face of loudspeaker 32; Figure 1) such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to incorporate such placement of the microphone in front of the speaker face, as taught by McLean, within the system of Everingham by modifying frame 18 by extending the frame 18 along the horizontal axis and positioning the microphone 42 on frame 18 in order to suspend microphone 42 at a distance over the face of the speaker cone 32.

Regarding claims 2, 11, microphone 42 is connected to the large diameter end of main air duct housing 12 via frame 18 (Figure 1; col. 2, lines 60-64).

Regarding claims 7-8, 15-17, note radial legs 28 (see Figure 2).

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Everingham in view of Shipps et al. (US 6,072,880, hereinafter "Shipps").

Everingham differs from claim 5 in that it does not specify a screen spanning at least a portion of the mouth. However, Shipps teaches the desirability of placing a screen across the mouth of an air induction enclosure (enclosure 12 includes a port 22 which is covered by a screen 114 to prevent debris from entering the enclosure; col. 7, lines 56-61; Figure 1) such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to incorporate the use of a screen, as

Application/Control Number: 09/847,245

Art Unit: 2643

taught by Shipps, within the air induction system of Everingham in order to prevent debris from entering the air duct housing.

6. Claims 9, 12, 14, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Everingham in view of McLean, as applied to claims 8, 10 and 17 above, and further in view of Shipps.

The combination of Everingham and McLean differs from claim 12 in that it does not specify a flex cable communicating with the speaker. However, Shipps teaches the well known use of a flex cable (col. 4, lines 59-62; col. 10, lines 48-50) for connecting the speaker in an active exhaust silencing system such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to use a flex cable, as taught by Shipps, within the air induction system of Everingham and McLean for providing connection to the speaker.

The combination of Everingham and McLean differs from claims 9, 14, 18 in that it does not specify a screen spanning at least a portion of the mouth. However, Shipps teaches the desirability of placing a screen across the mouth of an air induction enclosure (enclosure 12 includes a port 22 which is covered by a screen 114 to prevent debris from entering the enclosure; col. 7, lines 56-61; Figure 1) such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to incorporate the use of a screen, as taught by Shipps, within the air induction system of Everingham and McLean in order to prevent debris from entering the air duct housing.

7. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Everingham in view of McLean, and further in view of Cain et al. (US 5,457,749, hereinafter "Cain").

The combination of Everingham and McLean differs from claim 13 in that it does not specify frame 18 as being plastic. However, Cain teaches the desirability of using plastic to

Application/Control Number: 09/847,245 Page 6

Art Unit: 2643

support a microphone assembly in an engine noise cancellation system because plastic is an inexpensive material yet capable of withstanding high temperatures and severe weather conditions (col. 3, line 66 – col. 4, line 2; col. 5, lines 49-50; col. 6, lines 60-62). It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to incorporate the use of plastic, as taught by Cain, as the material for constructing the frame of Everingham and McLean in order to take advantage of the inexpensive yet durable properties of plastic.

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments filed December 16, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Everingham does not teach the positioning of microphone in the sound field created by speaker 30. However, Figure 1 clearly shows microphone 42 within the space identified as 34, which receives the sound field generated by speaker 30. Furthermore, in column 2, lines 60-64, Everingham teaches the microphone 42 being located in the plane of the front of the speaker cone 32, thus, in the sound field emanating from speaker cone 32.
- 9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3-4, 6-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/847,245

Art Unit: 2643

Page 7

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stella L. Woo whose telephone number is (571) 272-7512. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday, Thursday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Stella L. Woo Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2643